

# Statistical Issues Think Tank II

19 November, 2014

Bethesda North Marriott Hotel & Conference Center 5701 Marinelli Rd, Bethesda, MD 20852 Bethesda, Maryland 20852 USA

**Meeting Goal:** To provide an update on the current status of statistical methodologies for the design and analysis of antibacterial drugs, to discuss ongoing challenges in the development and adoption of innovative methods, and to generate strategies to propel antibacterial drug development forward.

# Meeting Agenda Wednesday November 19, 2014

9:00 AM-4:00 PM

8:00-9:00 AM Registration/ Breakfast

9:00-9:20 AM Welcome and Opening Remarks: Lisa LaVange, PhD
Director, Office of Biostatistics, OTS/CDER/FDA
Session goal: Understand the objectives for this meeting and summarize the discussion and advances since the first CTTI statistical issues think tank in August 2012.

### Session 1

### 9:20-10:20 AM

## Current status of drug development and ongoing challenges

Session Chair: Dionne Price, PhD

Director, Division of Biometrics IV, Office of Biostatistics, OTS/CDER/FDA

<u>Session goal</u>: To understand the status of antibacterial drug development and the ongoing challenges in the design and analysis of antibacterial drug products

### **Presentations**

### Joseph Toerner, MD, MPH (10 min)

Office of Antimicrobial Products/CDER/FDA
Brief Summary of Regulatory Standards and Guidances

### Dan Rubin, PhD (15 min)

Mathematical Statistician, Office of Biostatistics, OTS/CDER/FDA Summary of the Unmet Need Guidance and Statistical Challenges

### Seong Jang, PhD (15 min)

Reviewer, Office of Clinical Pharmacology, OTS/CDER/FDA
Application of Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics in New Anti-Infective Drug
Development: Current Challenges and Future Perspectives

### Aaron Dane (15 min)

Biometrics & Information Science, Infection TA Head, AstraZeneca Statistical Considerations for a Tiered Approach to Antibiotic Drug Development

### **Questions and Answers on presentations**

#### 10:20-10:30 AM

### **Break**

### 10:30-12:00 PM

### **Moderated Discussion**

### **Discussion questions:**

- 1. What concerns exist regarding incorporating preclinical evidence into the analysis of confirmatory trial results? What analyses techniques might be appropriate for incorporation of preclinical data?
- 2. Is there a role for single arm trials in evaluating anti-infective drugs? If not, what are viable alternatives to single arm trials? Discuss possible strategies aimed at leveraging external data in development programs in potentially limited populations.
- 3. Discuss considerations involved in using a master clinical trial protocol to evaluate new anti-infective drugs.

12:00-1:00 PM

Lunch

# **Session 2** 1:00-2:15 PM

### Current research and additional opportunities for the future

Session Chair: Dionne Price, PhD

<u>Session goal:</u> To review current methodological research and to generate strategic research ideas for the future

### **Presentations**

Erica Brittain, PhD (15 min)

Deputy Branch Chief, Biostatistics Research Branch, NIAID/NIH I Discordant MIC Analysis: Testing for Superiority Within a Non-inferiority Trial

### Thamban Valappil, PhD

Mathematical Statistician, Division of Biometrics IV, Office of Biostatistics, OTS/CDER/FDA and

### Mohamed Huque, PhD (15 min)

Senior Stat AdvisorOffice of Biostatistics, OTS, CDER, FDA

Hierarchical Nested Trial Design (HNTD) for New Antibacterial Drugs in Patients with Emerging Bacterial Resistance

### Scott Evans, Ph.D, MS. (15 min)

Senior Research Scientist, Harvard University RADAR

### Margaret Gamalo-Siebers, PhD (25 min)

FDA

Proposals for the Analysis of Antibacterial Drug Trials

### Questions and answers on presentations

### 2:15-2:30 PM

### Break

### 2:30-3:45 PM

### **Moderated Discussion**

### Discussion topics:

- 1. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of Bayesian approaches to design and analysis, and how a prior distribution would be chosen to analyze a confirmatory trial of an anti-infective drug. What would be some of the challenges and how might they be overcome?
- 2. Discuss evaluation of drugs posited to have similar efficacy profile to existing drugs but a superior safety profile. When can efficacy and toxicity measures be combined into a composite or ordinal endpoint to test for superiority, and when should a non-inferiority trial be conducted?
- 3. What are potential analysis strategies for a single trial enrolling subjects with infections at different body sites?
- 4. What additional opportunities exist for statistical innovation in anti-infective trials?

3:45-4:00 PM

### Next steps and adjourn