This framework is intended to be used as a tool supporting sponsors seeking to optimize their approach for managing atypical data, including those data captured outside of the intended use of the mobile technology. Here CTTI defines a variety of options for addressing unanticipated data, describes the implications and applications of these options, and provides illustrative examples of how these approaches may be applied in practice. For additional considerations pertaining to handling safety signals, please reference Section IV-2 of the recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology/Data Capability</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Implications &amp; Applications</th>
<th>Illustrative Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The mobile technology is not intended for safety monitoring.</td>
<td>Participants are educated at enrollment, through the informed consent process, that the mobile technology is not intended for adverse event monitoring and that they should not depend on it for such. Any adverse events should be reported to the investigator through the usual channels.</td>
<td>Researchers do not have an obligation to analyze data for an endpoint that is not in line with the intended use of the mobile technology. With this approach, participants should be informed at enrollment that the technology is not providing any safety monitoring. CTTI recommends that participants be reminded of this throughout the trial. This approach is one option that may be considered when the mobile technology does not provide data that could reliably be interpreted as a safety signal in the context of use of the study.</td>
<td><strong>Intended use:</strong> Actimetry sensor captures data that is processed to generate information on movement that is known to be accurate and reliable in the context of a post-op study of knee replacement patients. The outcome of interest that the mobile technology will be measuring include physical activity outcomes such as steps and time in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA). <strong>Safety monitoring:</strong> While an actimetry sensor could conceivably provide an indication if a patient falls, the data captured has not been validated for this measure. Therefore, with this approach, the data would not be analyzed to detect falls. The absence of safety monitoring by the mobile technology should be clearly communicated during the informed consent process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| The mobile technology is not intended for safety monitoring. | Participants are educated at enrollment, through the informed consent process, that the mobile technology is not intended for safety and that they should not depend on it for such. Participants are also told that if any results that | | **Intended use:** Cardiac monitor captures and processes data that accurately and reliably detects episodes of atrial fibrillation in high risk patients. This is the efficacy endpoint that the mobile technology will be collecting. **Safety monitoring:** While a cardiac monitor could feasibly detect other cardiac events, if the data captured and processing applied to it has not been validated for these measures, applying this approach dictates that monitoring the data through the application of non-validated algorithms is not necessary. The absence of safety monitoring should be clearly communicated during the informed consent process. |

If an exploratory algorithm

Table 4: Framework of Approaches for Safety Monitoring and Managing Safety Signals when using Mobile Technologies for Data Capture

### Illustrative Examples

**Intended use:** Actimetry sensor captures data that is processed to generate information on movement that is known to be accurate and reliable in the context of a post-op study of knee replacement patients. The outcome of interest that the mobile technology will be measuring include physical activity outcomes such as steps and time in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA).

**Safety monitoring:** If an exploratory algorithm

**Intended use:** Cardiac monitor captures and processes data that accurately and reliably detects episodes of atrial fibrillation in high risk patients. This is the efficacy endpoint that the mobile technology will be collecting. The technology is also capable of accurately and reliably detecting heart rhythm abnormalities known to signal cardiac arrest or heart attack.

**Safety monitoring:** If an exploratory algorithm

**Intended use:** Cardiac monitor captures and processes data that accurately and reliably detects episodes of atrial fibrillation in high risk patients. This is the efficacy endpoint that the mobile technology will be collecting. The technology is also capable of accurately and reliably detecting heart rhythm abnormalities known to signal cardiac arrest or heart attack.
may indicate a safety concern are detected in their data, these will be discussed with them. 

**Intended use:** Actimetry sensor captures data that is processed to generate information on movement that is known to be accurate and reliably in the context of a post-op study of knee replacement patients. The outcome of interest that the mobile technology will be measuring is range of motion of the knee. The technology is also capable of accurately and reliably detecting falls in this context of use. 

**Safety monitoring:** The data should be monitored for atypical data indicating that participant may have fallen. If such data is observed, a pre-specified SOP for handling such information should be followed.

---

The mobile technology selected is capable of accurately and reliably measuring pre-specified, valid safety measure(s) in the context of use of the trial.

**Action(s) to be taken following the detection of a safety signal or adverse event by a mobile technology should be pre-specified in the protocol and clearly communicated to participants.**

This approach requires that the pre-specified safety measures are valid in the context of use of the trial. Also, that the mobile technology selected is capable of accurately and reliably assessing this measure. This approach will likely also require additional monitoring of data from the technology. (Click here for CTTI recommendations on study monitoring when using mobile technologies for data capture).

CTTI recommends that study participants be included in decision making on how to handle responses to safety signals and adverse events detected remotely by mobile technologies. Specifically, to whom this information may be communicated beyond the study investigator and required safety reporting. Also, what, if any, immediate action will be taken in response to safety events detected in real time.

---

**Intended use:** Cardiac monitor captures and processes data that accurately and reliably detects episodes of atrial fibrillation in high risk patients. This is the efficacy endpoint that the mobile technology will be collecting. The technology is also capable of accurately and reliably detecting heart rhythm abnormalities known to signal cardiac arrest or heart attack.

**Safety monitoring:** The data should be monitored for atypical data indicating a possible cardiac arrest or heart attack. If such data is observed, a pre-specified SOP for handling such information should be followed.

---

This framework has been adapted from framework developed by Bora & Sorenson† and Illes et al.‡ describing options for handling incidental findings during clinical research using MRI scans.

---
